

# **Rosemary's Mountain Quarry**

## **Facilitated Dialogue**

### **MINUTES OF THE SECOND MONTHLY FACILITATED DIALOGUE**

**July 2, 2008 at the Rancho Monserate Country Clubhouse**

The second Monthly Facilitated Dialogue meeting regarding the Rosemary's Mountain Quarry project began with a brief overview of the meetings that have occurred to date. After a brief summary from the facilitators, Gary Nolan from Granite Construction Company and Rachel Bishop from the Fallbrook community gave a brief overview of the different perspectives and concerns raised at the June 2<sup>nd</sup> initial Monthly Facilitated Dialogue.

Next, participants were broken into three smaller groups (with a representative from Granite in each) and were asked to work on three areas:

1. Crystalline Silica/Dust/Particulates: Impact and monitoring issues
2. Transportation/Traffic issues: Impact and other concerns
3. Participation at Meetings: Who needs to be invited to these meetings?

Specifically, the groups were asked to discuss the above issues and come up with ideas for addressing them. They were also asked to sort out what additional information is needed. Finally, the groups were asked to identify other individuals/groups that should be invited to these meetings.

Following the small group sessions, the large group reconvened and each of the small groups reported what had occurred in their group. The ideas and questions from these small groups have been consolidated and are set out at the end of these minutes.

The evening ended with a discussion about convening a small working group before the next dialogue meeting to provide community participants an opportunity to begin working with Granite Construction Company to develop crystalline silica and other dust monitoring systems. Granite expressed that it is required to submit a monitoring plan to the County of San Diego and would like to work with the community to create a system that the community can trust. Individuals from the community were identified that were willing to participate in this working group and some others are being recruited. A report from this working group will be given at the next monthly dialogue meeting and the full group will continue discussions and problem solving on these issues. Participants will also continue problem solving discussions with respect to transportation and traffic concerns.

The next dialogue meeting is set for August 6, 2008 from 6:30 p.m. to 8:30 p.m. at the Rancho Monserate Country Club Clubhouse; please see attached flyer regarding this meeting and help spread the word to others who may be interested in attending and participating in the dialogue.

Respectfully submitted,

Your neutral facilitators: Dennis Sharp, Gail Nugent and Susan Garrett

## SMALL GROUPS DISCUSSIONS

[Note: All three small group's input are combined below; to see a full list of issues and concerns raised through the June 4<sup>th</sup> dialogue, please see the other attachment provided with this email.]

## CRYSTALLINE SILICA/DUST/PARTICULATES IMPACT AND MONITORING:

### *Questions/Information Needed:*

- *How can the community trust that the monitoring will be done adequately and independently?*
- *What are the state and federal standards for dust monitoring? Are these standards sufficient to assure that we are safe?*
- *How often will the monitoring be done?*
- *Where will the testing be done (i.e. , At the site? In neighborhoods?)*
- *Will there also be monitoring for “large” dust in addition to dust particulates that cannot be seen (like crystalline silica)?*
- *How will the dust be kept under control at the site?*
- *If there is an unsafe amount of crystalline silica and/or dust, will the quarry be shut down?*
- *What are the health risks involved for crystalline silica and other dust?*
- *What is the impact of dust from the trucks coming in and out of the quarry site?*
- *How can the combined impact of this quarry and other development projects being done in the area be measured?*

### *Ideas:*

- *Use independent monitoring company and equipment*

- *Use portable monitors that can measure at a variety of locations (for example, in the neighborhoods, at I-15/Hwy 76 junction, in parks, etc.)*
- *Bring in independent experts to help explain health risks and provide community input into monitoring plan; some expertise suggested included Chemical Engineer/Technical; Medical Doctor (pulmonologis/epidemiologist); Unversity Professor; Government Agencies (EPA, California Resource, OSHA, Etc.)*
- *Require all trucks to have tarps, whether it is the law or not*
- *Obtain information from other quarries that Granite has worked on around the country for ideas and input for this monitoring system*
- *Additional monitoring also needs to be done for vibration, noise and ground water*
- *Monitoring needs to be verifiable, accountable and independent*
- *Need to move soon so that baseline measurements can be done*
- *Put the Environmental Impact Report on the website so that anyone from the community can review it*
- *Get an air quality report*

## **TRANSPORTATION AND TRAFFIC IMPACT AND OTHER CONCERNS:**

### *Questions/Information Needed:*

- *How many trucks per day are expected once the quarry is up and running? (Granite answered: 282 per day with majority between 7:00 a.m. and noon);*

- *What explosives are being transported? How? How often? What kind of danger to the community do they pose?*
- *What requirements are there for trucks to be tarped?*
- *What protections are there to prevent rocks from coming down the hills?*
- *How is Granite going to disguise the quarry?*
- *What is the impact on traffic in other communities with a quarry in full operation?*
- *How can traffic patterns be improved on the on-ramps and off-ramps of I-15?*
- *Once Highway 76 is re-aligned and expanded to 4 lanes, what are the expectations for how long the road surface will be acceptable with the amount of traffic expected when quarry is at full-operation?*
- *Do the specification for Highway 76 address the additional load weight of all trucks expected to be using the quarry?*
- *Can we request Cal-Trans to review traffic study?*

*Ideas:*

- *Regarding explosives, suggested that an article about what occurred in Utah be distributed*
- *Put the traffic section of the Environmental Impact Report on the website*
- *Research other quarries which are close to other communities to determine affect on traffic after quarry opens*

**OTHER PARTICIPANTS TO INVITE  
TO MONTHLY DIALOGUES:**

- **San Diego County Department of the Environment**
- **Community Liaison of Supervisor Horn**
- **Cal Trans – the engineer that has been assigned to this project**
- **Department of Parks and Recreation**
- **Board of Realtors**
- **Chamber of Commerce**
- **Representative from Pala and Pauma Casinos**
- **Individuals from all neighborhoods of Fallbrook (make sure all have an adequate opportunity to be informed about quarry and dialogues)**